STAT_1302; Lecture 7; Jan. 30, '24 _ Assignment 1 due tomorrow. - Assignment 2 Coming Soon.

- § 9.4 Tests for the Population Proportion when the Sample Size is large
- Set-up: A random Sample of Size n is drawn from a pop. Interest Centres on testing $H_0: P = P_0$ vs. $H_1: P > P_0$ or $H_1: P < P_0$ or $H_1: p \neq P_0$ at level of Significance α .

Assumption: n is large. Verify these conditions $n \times P_0 = 75$ and $n \times 9_0 > 5$ where $9_0 = 1 - P_0$.

Test Statistic:

$$Z = \frac{\widehat{P} - P_o}{\sqrt{\frac{P_o q_o}{n}}}$$
Given

Assuming Ho is true,

$$\overline{Z} = \frac{\widehat{P} - P_o}{\sqrt{\frac{P_o q_o}{n}}} \approx N(0, 1).$$

(ie. this is a Z-test.)

$$\overline{Iest} \qquad Rejection Region$$

$$H_{o}: P = P_{o} vs. H_{i}: P > P_{o} \qquad Z > Z_{d}$$

$$H_{o}: P = P_{o} vs. H_{i}: P < P_{o} \qquad Z < -Z_{d}$$

$$H_{o}: P = P_{o} vs. H_{i}: P \neq P_{o} \qquad IZI > Z_{a/2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad IZI > Z_{a/2}$$

-Zayz Zalz

Ex. A five year old Census recorded that 20% of families in a large community live below the poverty line. To determine if this Percentage has Changed, a random Sample of 500 families is Studied and 91 are found to be living the poverty line. Does this find indicate that the Current Percentage is different from the Percentage obtained from the Past

Census? Let
$$\alpha = 0.01$$
.
Solin:

1. Let p be the proportion of families living below the poverty line.

2.
$$H_0: p = 0.20$$

 $H_1: p \neq 0.20$

3.
$$Z = \frac{\hat{P} - P_o}{\sqrt{\frac{P_o \, q_o}{n}}} = \frac{0.182 - 0.2}{\sqrt{\frac{0.2 \times 0.8}{500}}} = -1.00$$

$$\widehat{P} = \frac{91}{500} = 0.182$$

$$\alpha = 0.01$$
; $\alpha /_2 = 0.005$, $Z_{0.005}$



in the area portion Look up 0.005 in Table II. This gives $-Z_{0.005} = -2.58$. $\therefore Z_{0.005} = 2.58$. Table II. ---0.005

: Reject Ho if 121 > 2.58.

- 4. Decision: 1 1.00 l = 1 < 2.58. ... fail to reject H_0 .
- 5. Conclusion: There is not enough evidence to Suggest that the percentage of families living below the poverty line has changed since the last Census.

Assumption: n is large because $n \times P_0 = 500 \times 0.20 = 100.0 > 5$ and

We can also test $H_0: p=0.2$ us. $H_i: p\neq 0.2$ Using a 99% CI for p.

$$\hat{p} \pm Z_{\alpha_{12}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}\hat{q}}{n}} = 0.182 \pm 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{0.182 \times (1-0.182)}{500}}$$

$$= (0.14, 0.23).$$

Decision: Since
$$P_0 = 0.2$$
 is in the CI for p_1 ,
we fail to reject H_0 . Same conclusion as before.

Using the p-value approach: $\frac{Test}{H_{o}: P=P_{o} \text{ vs. } H_{i}: P \ni P_{o} P(Z \ni Z_{obs.})$ $H_{o}: P=P_{o} \text{ vs. } H_{i}: P \in P_{o} P(Z \in Z_{obs.})$ $H_{o}: P=P_{o} \text{ vs. } H_{i}: P \notin P_{o} P(Z \in Z_{obs.})$ Back to our example. $H_0: P = 0.2$ vs. $H_i: P \neq 0.2$. Let's test using the p-value approach.



[P-value is P(Z<-1) + P(Z>1).]

 $P-value = 2 \times P(Z < -1)$ = 2 × 0.1587 (from Table IV). = 0.3174 > $\alpha = 0.01$

: fail to reject Ho; Same Conclusion as before.

Recall: For any hypothesis test, reject H_0 if P-value $< \infty$. Assumption: Same assumptions as critical value approach when testing using the p-value. Here, $N \times P_0 > 5$ and $N \times q_0 > 5$.

(Read ebook § 9.4 for additional two-sided alternative p-value calculations.)

Ex. Test H_0 : P = 0.44 vs. H_1 : P < 0.44 at $\alpha = 0.01$. Given: A random Sample of 450 observations and $\hat{P} = 0.39$. (State any assumptions you are making.)

Rejection Region: 1. parameter: p, pop. proportion 2. H_n : p=0.44 us: H_i : p<0.44

 $g_o = 1 - P_o = 1 - 0.44 = 0.56$

4. Reject H_{\circ} if $Z < -Z_{0.01}$.



Reject Ho if Z < -2.33. -2.14 F -2.33

- 5. Fail to reject Ho because -2.14 is not In the rejection region.
- 6. Conclusion: Pop. prop. is 0.44.

P-value Approach to testing:



P-value = P(Z < -2,14) = 0.0162 (Table IV).

P-value = 0.0162 > $\alpha = 0.01$... fail to reject Ho. Same conclusion as before.

End of \$ 9.4.